

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,137	08/29/2001	Christopher M. Angelucci	8932-538	6603	
20583	7590 08/06	3			
	ND EDMONDS		EXAM	EXAMINER	
1155 AVEN NEW YOR	IUE OF THE AMEF K, NY 100362711	AS	ROBERT, EI	ROBERT, EDUARDO C	
			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732		
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/942,137	ANGELUCCI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eduardo C. Robert	3732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Extermited after - If the - If NC - Failure - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u></u>	•	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
• —	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	election requirement.				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)∭ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	ion No			
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	 The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 					
Attachmen	•					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and T	rademark Office					



Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-43, drawn to an implant, classified in class 623, subclass 17.16.
- II. Claims 44-46, drawn to a method for providing a desired distance between first and second cut bone ends of the spine, classified in class 606, subclass 69.
- III. Claims 47-48, drawn to a method for providing a desired space in the spinal canal, classified in class 623, subclass 17.11.
- IV. Claims 49-50, drawn to a method for providing a desire space in the spinal canal, classified in class 623, subclass 17.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practice with an implant having a deformable plate with fastener receiving holes to attached the plate.

Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice



Art Unit: 3732

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practice with an implant that has cutout of different shape, e.g. V-shaped.

Inventions IV and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practice with a different implant, e.g. one having a body portion with first and second ends each having bone engaging portions and at least one of the bone engaging portions having an arcuate cutout.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different



inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for some Groups are not required for other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Figures 1A-1C
- II. Figures 4A-4C
- III. Figures 5A-5C
- IV. Figures 8A-8C
- V. Figures 10A-10C
- VI. Figures 11A-11C
- VII. Figure 12A
- VIII. Figure 12B

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 3732

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eduardo C. Robert whose telephone number is 703-305-7333. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on 703-308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Eduardo C. Robert Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

E.C. Robert August 4, 2003